

Mr. Speaker, I ask my colleagues to join with me in congratulating him on his many years of public service. I know his wife, his family and many friends join with me in praising his accomplishments and extending thanks for his service over the years to Baldwin County.

INTRODUCING THE MINORITY ENTREPRENEURSHIP AND INNOVATION PILOT PROGRAM OF 2006

HON. ELIJAH E. CUMMINGS

OF MARYLAND

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Tuesday, July 25, 2006

Mr. CUMMINGS. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to urge my colleagues to support the Minority Entrepreneurship & Innovation Pilot Program of 2006, a bill that I am introducing as a companion to S. 2586, sponsored by Senator John Kerry. This bill is designed to address our nation's growing economic disparities through the promotion of business development and entrepreneurship in minority communities.

Economic indicators show that today, the average income for African Americans is just 62 percent that of whites. More than 40 years after the last of the Jim Crow laws was repealed by the Civil Rights Act of 1964, the economic value of blacks is still nearly three-fifths that of whites—a statistic that clearly indicates that the vestiges of slavery are enduring.

This race-based "wealth gap" is simply unacceptable. And African Americans are not the only minority group suffering from this disparity. The average incomes of Native Americans and Latinos are similarly unbalanced, with those communities earning 65 and 74 percent of the income of whites respectively.

But the news is not all bad. The National Urban League, in its 2006 "State of Black America Report," indicated that there may be a silver lining to this cloud. The prevalence of black-owned businesses has been on the upswing, revealing a difference of 2.5 to 1 (White Businesses to African-American Businesses), as compared with 3 to 1 a few years ago.

As many of my colleagues know, minority-owned businesses provide real opportunity for individuals, families and communities. By supporting their growth, we can begin to reverse the increasing "wealth gap" for good, leading to greater economic independence for minorities. This result will multiply itself and in the process lay the foundation for closing other socio-economic gaps—gaps that have created an environment for persistent economic failure in many of these communities.

That is why I am introducing the Minority Entrepreneurship and Innovation Pilot Program of 2006. This legislation would establish a \$24 million, two-year pilot program to promote small business development in colleges and universities that serve African American, Native American and Latino communities.

Through \$1 million grants, the institutions would provide students in highly-skilled fields such as engineering, manufacturing and science with the tools they need to start their own businesses. The bill would also allow institutions to establish Small Business Development Centers to provide counseling, capacity building and niche market development services.

A great legacy of the American Dream has been the opportunity for ordinary citizens to improve their livelihoods by starting their own business. The Minority Entrepreneurship and Innovation Pilot Program of 2006 would give minority communities a chance to share in this attainable dream.

I want to thank the original cosponsors who have joined with me in introducing this important bill, Representatives BENNIE THOMPSON, GRACE NAPOLITANO, SANFORD BISHOP, ALBERT WYNN, DEBBIE WASSERMAN SCHULTZ, BOBBY SCOTT, MAJOR OWENS, BENJAMIN CARDIN, AL GREEN, GREGORY MEEKS, BOBBY RUSH, JUANITA MILLENDER-MCDONALD, RAUL GRIJALVA, JOHN CONYERS, G.K. BUTTERFIELD, ALLEN BOYD, MIKE ROSS, DANNY DAVIS, STEPHANIE TUBBS JONES, LINDA SÁNCHEZ, ELEANOR HOLMES NORTON, CAROLYN KILPATRICK, JOE BACA, DAVID SCOTT, ALBERT WYNN, CHRIS VAN HOLLEN, HILDA SOLIS, DONALD PAYNE, BARBARA LEE, C.A. DUTCH RUPPERSBERGER, SAM FARR and JAMES CLYBURN.

I ask the rest of my colleagues to please join us in helping to reverse the "wealth gap" by supporting this legislation.

IN SUPPORT OF A MUTUALLY ACCEPTABLE SOLUTION TO THE FUTURE POLITICAL STATUS OF KOSOVO

HON. DAN BURTON

OF INDIANA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Tuesday, July 25, 2006

Mr. BURTON of Indiana. Mr. Speaker, for the first time in many years, two high-ranking delegations—one representing Serbia, the other the Serbian province of Kosovo—met in Vienna, Austria to discuss the future political status of Kosovo, which has been administered by the United Nations since 1999. The Vienna meeting was the first time that the Serbian President and Prime Minister met with their political counterparts from Kosovo.

Both sides presented and explained their position on Kosovo's future political status. The Serbian delegation presented a practical plan for the highest possible autonomy for Kosovo inside Serbia's borders, while Kosovo's leaders presented their plan for independence.

Although the parties reached no agreement, the Vienna meeting was very positive, and I believe it should be commended. It allowed both sides to present their platforms in a constructive and diplomatic manner, and provided the international community with strong assurances that events in the Balkans can be solved in a peaceful and civilized way.

Serbia proved once again that is ready to seek a final solution for Kosovo based on the tenets of territorial integrity, international law and regional stability. Serbia's position highlighted the necessity to broker a final agreement that will keep democracy and reform in Serbia intact.

An imposed solution for Kosovo would be a dangerous precedent and may serve as the fatal blow for the economic and political processes in Serbia. There is a slim but very real possibility that radical elements in Serbian politics would seize power in Belgrade if Kosovo is granted independence from the UN, without ironclad-guarantees for Kosovo's Serb popu-

lation and the firm commitment to protect Serbian historical, cultural and religious sites in Kosovo.

Serbia is a new country with new leadership. It is a country led by reformers, like President Boris Tadic, who helped topple Slobodan Milosevic from power and had the fortitude to transfer him to the Hague Tribunal to answer for his crimes against humanity.

This new Serbia is a thriving, free market democracy, based on transparency, the rule of law and the protection of human rights. Serbia is a member of international organizations, and it is on the path toward membership in the European Union and North Atlantic Treaty Organization.

The Serbia of today is working with the United States to spread democracy and freedom and now the United States has the unique opportunity to stand with its democratic allies in Serbia, and to work to advance a mutually acceptable solution to the future political status of Kosovo; one which won't leave Serbia and its fragile democracy in tatters.

The mishandling of Kosovo's final political status might reverse these advances in Serbia and endanger a region just recovering from dictatorship, ethnic strife, isolation and war.

RECOGNIZING THE 10TH ANNIVERSARY OF WELFARE REFORM

HON. RAHM EMANUEL

OF ILLINOIS

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Tuesday, July 25, 2006

Mr. EMANUEL. Mr. Speaker, I rise today in recognition of the 10th anniversary of President Clinton's historic welfare reform initiative. The Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996 fundamentally transformed our nation's welfare system and provided a clear direction for the future of this important program.

I am proud to have played an active role in the passage of this legislation during my time in the White House. If it were not for President Clinton's vision, welfare reform would never have gained the bipartisan support that was required. President Clinton vowed to end welfare as we know it and he succeeded in forming a system that both rewarded and required work.

In Illinois alone, 217,000 families worked their way off of TANF and into the workforce. President Clinton realized that the best job training was an actual job.

We also realized that jobs came along with new challenges for welfare recipients. Therefore we assisted recipients in finding child care and instituted transitional medical assistance for families leaving the welfare rolls.

The greatest accomplishment of welfare reform was connecting a generation of children with a culture of work. Many children who would have grown up in a household with non-working parents, have internalized the value of work and learned how to build a better future for themselves and their families.

Thanks to welfare reform, more than 3 million children rose above the poverty line between 1996 and 2000. Earnings of the poorest people in our country rose significantly.

However, some of the progress we made has been reversed. Between 2001 and 2005, 5 million Americans fell below the poverty line, including 1.5 million children.

Mr. Speaker, I commend those in Congress that worked to pass this legislation in 1996, and I look forward to working with them to ensure that what we accomplished in 1996 is not undone. I urge my colleagues to build on the success of the past with a commitment to ensuring the future success of welfare reform.

HIRE A VETERAN WEEK

SPEECH OF

HON. SILVESTRE REYES

OF TEXAS

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Monday, July 24, 2006

Mr. REYES. Madam Speaker, I rise in strong support of H. Con. Res. 125, expressing Congressional support for "Hire-A-Veteran Week," and encouraging the President to issue a proclamation calling upon employers to increase employment of men and women who have served honorably in the U.S. Armed Forces.

As a U.S. Army veteran and a member of the House Armed Services and Veterans' Affairs Committees, I know of the challenges awaiting our servicemembers when transitioning from military service to the civilian workforce. While this resolution will not solve the problems of unemployment within the veterans community, it is a strong message that we as Members of Congress should send to anyone in a position to hire qualified veterans.

Having military veterans in both my El Paso, TX and Washington, DC offices, I know of the exceptional training the Armed Forces provides our servicemembers, and wholeheartedly encourage any employer to consider hiring those veterans who have served our country.

Madam Speaker, I ask all my colleagues to join me in supporting our nation's veterans by voting in favor of H. Con. Res. 125.

INTRODUCING THE AMERICARE HEALTH INSURANCE ACT OF 2006

HON. FORTNEY PETE STARK

OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Tuesday, July 25, 2006

Mr. STARK. Mr. Speaker, it gives me great pleasure to introduce the AmeriCare Health Insurance Act of 2006. I am joined by the AFL-CIO, the American Academy of Pediatrics, the American Nurses Association, the Center for Medicare Advocacy, Consumers' Union, Families USA, SEIU, the Universal Health Care Action Network, and the National Association of Community Health Centers in supporting this common sense solution to achieve universal health insurance coverage.

In my tenure in the House, I have been involved in many discussions about how to reform our health system. These debates tend to occur every 10 to 15 years when health costs rise to a level that attracts our attention. Unfortunately, the minor tweaks and threats of reform we have made in the past have not resulted in lasting change. As a result, we are spending more—and getting less—than any industrialized nation.

If history is any guide, we are nearing yet another health reform discussion in this coun-

try. This time, we need to get it right. To that end I offer AmeriCare—a practical proposal to ensure that everyone has affordable health insurance.

AmeriCare is based on the principles that the U.S. health system should cover everyone, be affordable, and be meaningful.

Eighty percent of the people who file for bankruptcy because of medical bills have health insurance, but their benefits do not meet their needs. Policies that are unaffordable, that discourage people from seeking care, or that do not cover necessary benefits are empty solutions.

AmeriCare builds on what works—both employer coverage and Medicare—in an effort to dramatically expand coverage with minimal disruption to the current system. It addresses the broader issues in our health system overall, and provides an important marker for a renewed discussion on health reform.

For the past four decades since it was enacted, the stability and affordability of Medicare have helped millions of seniors and people with disabilities live longer, healthier lives. Because of Medicare, families have been able to save for their children's education rather than having to pay for their parents' health care.

Since the program began, Medicare's per capita costs have grown at a slower rate than private health insurance or the Federal Employees Health Benefits Program.

Providers, too, have benefited from Medicare. Without Medicare as a consistent payer, providers would not be able to offer the top quality care they deliver today. Indeed, uncompensated care for people who are uninsured or underinsured is pushing some providers toward the breaking point. Meaningful coverage for all makes good business sense for providers.

AmeriCare also recognizes the important role that job-based benefits play in our current health system. Under AmeriCare, people would continue to obtain health coverage through their employer—as most of us currently do—or they would be covered under the new AmeriCare system. Expanding insurance coverage to all will end the cost shifting that results from the high number of uninsured we have today. This could reduce premiums for job-based insurance by as much as \$1,000 for family coverage, according to the Institute of Medicine.

Expanding coverage to all will also strengthen the economy and improve our competitiveness. General Motors recently admitted it spends more on health care than on steel; Starbucks spends more on health insurance than on coffee. The need to address health reform is more urgent than ever before.

AmeriCare is a sensible solution for our Nation's employers—many of whom are already meeting the challenge of providing coverage for their employees. I plan to reach out to the business community to begin a dialogue about how we might move forward with AmeriCare.

AmeriCare creates a new Title XXII in the Social Security Act. It uses Medicare's existing administrative infrastructure, but improves upon Medicare's benefits to address some of the current gaps in coverage, such as mental health parity, coverage for children, and family planning and pregnancy-related services for women. State Medicaid programs would remain responsible for long-term care, but AmeriCare would now cover low-income chil-

dren, women, and others who currently receive non-long term care services under Medicaid.

AmeriCare is financed through premiums, paid 20 percent by individuals and families and 80 percent by employers, general revenues, and state funds. People with incomes under 200 percent of poverty would be fully subsidized, and premiums and cost-sharing would be phased in for those with incomes between 200–300 percent of poverty.

There is also a limitation on out-of-pocket spending to ensure that no one spends a disproportionate share of their income on health care. Employers could continue to offer their own coverage, so long as it is equivalent to AmeriCare. Payment of premiums would be reconciled at the annual tax filing in April.

Everyone in the room should be aware that there is an effort underway to reform our health system, but not in the way we would like. Republicans have been pursuing stealth reform for the past decade in their dogged determination to dismantle the employer-based system and force everyone into high deductible health plans, regardless of whether they open or benefit from a Health Savings Account.

Their rhetoric makes it sound like you "own" your health care. But what they really mean is that you are on your own. It's not just that you have to fend for yourself when purchasing health care, it's that for most people—especially the currently uninsured—the Health Savings Account is purely theoretical. Employers don't have to contribute to these accounts, and most don't.

The Republican agenda expands the class of people who are underinsured, putting both patients and providers at greater risk. More and more doctors and hospitals are being forced to act as bill collectors rather than care providers, and patients are saddled with debt and even bankruptcy because their insurance benefits are inadequate. For example, many high deductible policies do not cover maternity benefits. The situation will only get worse if we continue to allow high-deductible health plans to take hold.

Without the security of a universal health plan that covers everyone, each of us is at risk. For years we have accepted that people fall through the cracks in our health system. No parent should ever have to deny their child a lifesaving treatment because they cannot afford the cost. No family should ever lose a parent because their condition was treated too late.

We need a strong alternative vision for health reform. That is why I am putting AmeriCare forward today. This proposal promotes shared risk and responsibility, not individual risk and greater fragmentation. AmeriCare offers an alternative vision that is simple and straightforward, fair and manageable.

Our Nation is at a crossroads. Our legacy should be a future where our children are not saddled with debt, where they do not fear financial ruin due to an illness. Whether we build a healthy future for our children or not depends upon the decisions we make today. True compassion means offering real solutions, not empty promises.

Working together, applying common sense approaches that build on what works, we can ensure that no-one risks the loss of insurance coverage. All we need is the will to do it.